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An unannounced inspection of Corriewood Private Clinic took place on 27 September 2016 from 10 00 to 14 00.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last medicines management inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff induction, training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home’s environment.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care effective?

There were examples good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, audits and reviews, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care compassionate

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is the service well led?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to governance arrangements, management of complaints and incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

1.1 Inspection outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of requirements and recommendations made at this inspection</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This inspection resulted in no requirements or recommendations being made. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Teresa McClean, registered manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

**1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection**

There were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection.

**2.0 Service details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered Organisation/Registered Person:</th>
<th>Registered Manager:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corriewood Private Clinic</td>
<td>Teresa Josephine McClean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person in Charge of the Home at the Time of Inspection:</th>
<th>Date Manager Registered:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teresa McClean</td>
<td>1 April 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of Care:</th>
<th>Number of Registered Places:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP - Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD - Learning Disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD (E) – Learning disability – over 65 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.0 Methods/processes**

Prior to inspection we analysed the following records: the previous inspection report and notifications of accidents and incidents. During the inspection the inspector met with four residents and one care staff in addition to the registered manager. There were no visiting professionals and no residents’ visitors/representatives on the day.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Induction programme for new staff
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Sample of competency and capability assessments
- Staff training schedule/records
- Three resident’s care files
- The home’s Statement of Purpose and Residents’ Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced medicines management inspection. There were no requirements or recommendations made as a result of this medicine management inspection.

There were no requirements or recommendations made as a result of the last care inspection.

The registered manager confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents and staff.

Review of completed induction records and discussion with the registered manager and staff evidenced that an induction programme was in place for all staff, relevant to their specific roles and responsibilities.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was available for inspection.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were retained. A sample of staff competency and capability assessments reviewed were found to be satisfactory.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern
Ireland) 2005 and that records were retained. Recruitment records were not examined on this occasion and may be reviewed at future inspections.

Enhanced Access NI disclosures were viewed by the registered manager for all staff prior to the commencement of employment.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body.

The adult safeguarding policies and procedures in place were consistent with the current regional guidance and included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the registered manager, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The registered manager confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

A review of policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge confirmed that this was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and Personal Social Services (2005) and the Human Rights Act (1998). It also reflected current best practice guidance including Deprivation of Liberties Safeguards (DoLS).

The registered manager confirmed that no restrictive practices were undertaken within the home and on the day of the inspection none were observed.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-disciplinary team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The registered manager confirmed that equipment and medical devices in use in the home was well maintained and regularly serviced.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC; in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.
The registered manager reported that there had been no outbreaks of infection within the last year. Any outbreak would be managed in accordance with home’s policy and procedures, reported to the Public Health Agency, the trust and RQIA with appropriate records retained. The home is a former gate lodge and as such provides accommodation for four persons in a domestic, family like environment. There are three permanent residents and one bedroom is designated for the provision of respite care.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated 7 October 2015 and all recommendations were noted to be appropriately addressed.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire drills were completed 6 monthly. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked weekly and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of requirements</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of recommendations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 Is care effective?

Discussion with the registered manager established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of three care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents’ health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the resident. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice.

An individual agreement setting out the terms of residency was in place and appropriately signed. Records were stored safely and securely in line with data protection.
The registered manager confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional reviews, residents' meetings (most recently on 19 July 2016), staff meetings (most recently on 14 March 2016) and staff shift handovers. The registered manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Residents spoken with and observation of practice evidenced that staff were able to communicate effectively with residents. The staff member on duty had worked in the home for many years during which time there had been little change in the residents accommodated. This ensured that there was an ease and familiarity in the relationship observed between staff and residents.

A review of care records along with accident and incident reports confirmed that referral to other healthcare professionals was timely and responsive to the needs of the residents.

Or comments received from residents were as follows:

“I’ve been here loads of times I love coming” (respite resident)
“I like it here I love my dinner”
“I was out for my lunch today but am happy to come back home”

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

| Number of requirements | 0 | Number of recommendations | 0 |

**4.5 Is care compassionate?**

The registered manager confirmed that there was a culture/ethos within the home that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents. This was confirmed by the staff member on duty and by observation of practice.

There were a range of policies and procedures in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff, residents and/or their representatives confirmed that residents' spiritual.

The registered manager and residents and/or their representatives confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. One resident was due to have a procedure undertaken by a nurse. The resident was anxious and was supported by staff. In the end the procedure was postponed as per the resident’s choice. Discussion with residents and staff along with observation of practice and interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect. Staff confirmed their awareness of promoting residents’ rights, independence and dignity. Staff were also able to demonstrate how residents’ confidentiality was protected.

Discussion with staff, residents, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. The home shares a site with a sister facility, Corriewood Private Nursing Home. Residents can attend the daily activities provided in the nursing home. Arrangements were in place for residents to maintain links with their friends, families and wider community.
For example the home operates an open visiting policy, on the day of this inspection one resident was out for lunch with a family member.

The registered manager and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Discussion with staff, residents and observation of practice confirmed that residents’ needs were recognised and responded to in a prompt and courteous manner by staff.

Residents were provided with information, in a format that they could understand which enabled them to make informed decisions regarding their life, care and treatment. For example there was an easy read guide for adults with a learning disability to the Human Rights Guide available for residents.

Residents are consulted with, at least annually, about the quality of care and environment. The findings from the consultation were collated into a summary report which was made available for residents and other interested parties to read. Residents confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Comments received from residents were as follows:

“I love my room”
“We were in the Isle of Man last week for our holidays, it was brilliant”
“We can do whatever we want and the staff help us”

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of requirements</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of recommendations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6 Is the service well led?

The registered manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice.

The health and social care needs of residents were met in accordance with the home’s Statement of Purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures were in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and DHSSPS guidance on complaints handling. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A three monthly audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken and was available for inspection.
Learning from accidents and incidents was disseminated to all relevant parties and action plans developed to improve practice.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys. There was a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

Discussion with the registered manager confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. Staff were provided with mandatory training and additional training opportunities relevant to any specific needs of the residents.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read. The most recent monitoring visit had been undertaken on 22 August 2016.

The registered manager confirmed that the home operated in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employee’s liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of notifications of accidents and incidents and the returned RQIA Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) confirmed that the registered provider/s respond to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

Review of records and discussion with the registered manager and staff confirmed that any adult safeguarding issues were managed appropriately. The registered manager confirmed that there were effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders. The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding the policy and procedure. The registered manager confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns and to offer support to staff. Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

The registered manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

**Areas for improvement**

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of requirements</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of recommendations</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no issues identified during this inspection, and a QIP is neither required, nor included, as part of this inspection report.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards.