

Unannounced Care Inspection Report 13 December 2016



Knockan Lodge

Type of Service: Residential Care Home

Address: 153 Finvoy Road, Ballymoney, BT53 7JN

Tel No: 02829571540

Inspector: Ruth Greer

1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Knockan Lodge took place on 13 December 2016 from 9 45 to 13 45.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the last care inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to staff training, supervision and appraisal, adult safeguarding, infection prevention and control, risk management and the home's environment.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care effective?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to care records, communication between residents, staff and other key stakeholders.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is care compassionate?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the culture and ethos of the home, listening to and valuing residents and taking account of the views of residents.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

Is the service well led?

There were examples of good practice found throughout the inspection in relation to the management of incidents, quality improvement and maintaining good working relationships.

No requirements or recommendations were made in relation to this domain.

This inspection was underpinned by The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and DHSSPS Residential Care Homes Minimum Standards, August 2011.

1.1 Inspection outcome

	Requirements	Recommendations
Total number of requirements and recommendations made at this inspection	0	0

This inspection resulted in no requirements or recommendations being made. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Marie Jamieson, deputy manager as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP there were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 5 July 2016.

2.0 Service details

Registered organisation/registered provider: P J Doherty	Registered manager: Anna Elder
Person in charge of the home at the time of inspection: Marie Jamieson, deputy manager	Date manager registered: 1 April 2005
Categories of care: I - Old age not falling within any other category DE – Dementia MP (E) - Mental disorder excluding learning disability or dementia – over 65 years PH - Physical disability other than sensory impairment PH (E) - Physical disability other than sensory impairment – over 65 years	Number of registered places: 25

3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection we analysed the following records: the report and q I p of the last inspection and notifications of accidents/incidents since that date.

During the inspection the inspector met with 12 residents, four care staff and one catering staff. There were no visiting professionals and no residents' visitors available on the day.

The following records were examined during the inspection:

- Staff duty rota
- Staff supervision and annual appraisal schedules
- Staff training schedule/records
- Four resident's care files
- The home's Statement of Purpose and Residents' Guide
- Minutes of recent staff meetings
- Complaints and compliments records
- Audits of risk assessments, care plans, care reviews; accidents and incidents (including falls, outbreaks), complaints, environment, catering
- Infection control register/associated records
- Equipment maintenance / cleaning records
- Accident/incident/notifiable events register
- Annual Quality Review report
- Minutes of recent residents' meetings
- Evaluation report from annual service user quality assurance survey
- Monthly monitoring report
- Fire safety risk assessment
- Fire drill records
- Programme of activities
- Policies and procedures manual

4.0 The inspection

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection Dated 5 July 2016

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector. This QIP was validated by the inspector at this inspection.

4.2 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last care inspection Dated 5 July 2016

Last care inspection statutory requirements		Validation of compliance
Requirement 1 Ref: Regulation 29 Stated: First time To be completed by: 30 July 2016	The registered provider must undertake monitoring visits and produce reports in accordance with regulation 29 Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: A template had been devised and was used to record the registered person's monitoring visits. Review of the record showed that the monitoring visits were up to date.	Met

4.3 Is care safe?

The deputy manager confirmed the staffing levels for the home and that these were subject to regular review to ensure the assessed needs of the residents were met. No concerns were raised regarding staffing levels during discussion with residents, residents' representatives and staff.

A review of the duty roster confirmed that it accurately reflected the staff working within the home.

Discussion with staff confirmed that mandatory training, supervision and appraisal of staff was regularly provided. A schedule for mandatory training, annual staff appraisals and staff supervision was maintained and was reviewed during the inspection. This showed that all staff had received appraisal in 2016. Individual supervision sessions take place three monthly.

The deputy manager and staff confirmed that competency and capability assessments were undertaken for any person who is given the responsibility of being in charge of the home for any period in the absence of the manager; records of competency and capability assessments were examined at the last inspection and were not reviewed at this inspection.

Review of the recruitment and selection policy and procedure confirmed that it complied with current legislation and best practice. The deputy manager confirmed that staff were recruited in line with Regulation 21 (1) (b), Schedule 2 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005. There had been no new staff recruited since the last inspection. Recruitment records were not reviewed on this occasion.

Arrangements were in place to monitor the registration status of staff with their professional body.

The adult safeguarding policy and procedure in place was consistent with the current regional guidance and included definitions of abuse, types of abuse and indicators, onward referral arrangements, contact information and documentation to be completed. The deputy manager had been established as the safeguarding champion for the home.

Discussion with staff confirmed that they were aware of the new regional guidance (Adult Safeguarding Prevention and Protection in Partnership, July 2015) and a copy was available for staff within the home. Staff were knowledgeable and had a good understanding of adult safeguarding principles. They were also aware of their obligations in relation to raising concerns about poor practice and whistleblowing. A review of staff training records confirmed that mandatory adult safeguarding training was provided for all staff.

Discussion with the deputy manager, review of accident and incidents notifications, care records and complaints records confirmed that all suspected, alleged or actual incidents of abuse were fully and promptly referred to the relevant persons and agencies for investigation in accordance with procedures and legislation; written records were retained.

The deputy manager confirmed there were risk management procedures in place relating to the safety of individual residents. Discussion with the registered manager identified that the home did not accommodate any individuals whose assessed needs could not be met. Review of care records identified that individual care needs assessments and risk assessments were obtained prior to admission.

A review of policy and procedure on restrictive practice/behaviours which challenge confirmed that this was in keeping with DHSSPS Guidance on Restraint and Seclusion in Health and Personal Social Services (2005) and the Human Rights Act (1998). It also reflected current best practice guidance including Deprivation of Liberties Safeguards (DoLS).

The deputy manager confirmed there where restrictive practices were employed within the home, notably bed rails and one pressure alarm mat. These were risk assessed, agreed with the relative stakeholders and recorded in the care plan.

It was noted that a system of CCTV was operational. Monitors which covered the external driveways and the internal downstairs corridors were in place in the senior care assistant office. A policy for the use of CCTV had been devised. The policy reflected guidance produced by RQIA. The Statement of Purpose and Residents' Guide had been updated to include the employment of the CCTV system. Existing residents had been consulted and all families had received a letter informing them of this initiative. The deputy manager confirmed that the use of the CCTV was limited and did not extend to bedrooms or any area where residents received personal care and that the decision to use the system was for security reasons. The deputy manager confirmed that the images recorded were deleted on a regular basis.

Inspection of care records confirmed there was a system of referral to the multi-professional team when required. Behaviour management plans were devised by specialist behaviour management teams from the trust and noted to be regularly updated and reviewed as necessary.

The deputy manager confirmed there were risk management policy and procedures in place. Discussion with the deputy manager and review of the home's policy and procedures relating to safe and healthy working practices confirmed that these were appropriately maintained and reviewed regularly e.g. COSHH, fire safety etc.

Review of the infection prevention and control (IPC) policy and procedure confirmed that this was in line with regional guidelines. Staff training records confirmed that all staff had received training in IPC in line with their roles and responsibilities. Discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable and had understanding of IPC policies and procedures. Inspection of the premises confirmed that there were wash hand basins, adequate supplies of liquid soap, alcohol hand gels and disposable towels wherever care was delivered. Observation of staff practice identified that staff adhered to IPC procedures.

Good standards of hand hygiene were observed to be promoted within the home among residents, staff and visitors. Notices promoting good hand hygiene were displayed throughout the home in both written and pictorial formats.

A general inspection of the home was undertaken and the residents' bedrooms were found to be personalised with photographs, memorabilia and personal items. The home was fresh smelling, clean and appropriately heated.

Inspection of the internal and external environment identified that the home and grounds were kept tidy, safe, suitable for and accessible to residents, staff and visitors. There were no obvious hazards to the health and safety of residents, visitors or staff. Discussion with the deputy manager confirmed that risk assessments and action plans were in place to reduce risk where possible.

The home had an up to date fire risk assessment in place dated June 2016. There were no recommendations as a result. The NI Fire and Rescue Service undertook an inspection of the home on 20 October 2016. The report of that inspection showed that no recommendations were made as a result.

Review of staff training records confirmed that staff completed fire safety training twice annually. Fire drills were completed most recently on 15 July 2016. Records were retained of staff who participated and any learning outcomes. Fire safety records identified that fire-fighting equipment, fire alarm systems, emergency lighting and means of escape were checked and were regularly maintained. Individual residents had a completed Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan (PEEPs) in place.

Comments received from residents and staff included:

- “I feel safe here there is always someone to call on” (resident)
- “The only problem is that I’m eating too much, it’s hard to resist all the nice things” (resident)
- “We always get training and we are kept up to date with anything new” (staff)

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

4.4 Is care effective?

Discussion with the deputy manager established that staff in the home responded appropriately to and met the assessed needs of the residents.

A review of four care records confirmed that these were maintained in line with the legislation and standards. They included an up to date assessment of needs, life history, risk assessments, care plans and daily/regular statement of health and well-being of the resident. Care needs assessment and risk assessments were reviewed and updated on a regular basis or as changes occurred.

The care records also reflected the multi-professional input into the residents’ health and social care needs and were found to be updated regularly to reflect the changing needs of the individual residents. Residents and/or their representatives were encouraged and enabled to be involved in the assessment, care planning and review process, where appropriate. Care records reviewed were observed to be signed by the resident and/or their representative. Discussion with staff confirmed that a person centred approach underpinned practice. For example residents choose rising and going to bed times and whether they like to spend the day with other residents or if they prefer to remain in their own bedrooms.

The deputy manager confirmed that systems were in place to ensure effective communication with residents, their representatives and other key stakeholders. These included pre-admission information, multi-professional team reviews, residents’ meetings, staff meetings and staff shift handovers. Residents meetings take place monthly the minutes of the most recent meeting held on 8 December 2016 were reviewed. The deputy manager and staff confirmed that management operated an open door policy in regard to communication within the home.

Comments received from residents and staff included:

“I don’t know how I would manage if I didn’t have these girls (staff) to look after me (resident)

“They’re (the staff) like angels (resident)

“If I think a resident is a wee bit out of sorts of upset I can inform a senior and I know it will be dealt with immediately” (staff)

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

4.5 Is care compassionate?

The deputy manager confirmed that staff in the home promoted a culture and ethos that supported the values of dignity and respect, independence, rights, equality and diversity, choice and consent of residents.

A range of policies and procedures were in place which supported the delivery of compassionate care. Discussion with staff and residents confirmed that residents’ spiritual and cultural needs, including preferences for end of life care, were met within the home. Discussion with residents and staff confirmed that action was taken to manage any pain and discomfort in a timely and appropriate manner.

The deputy manager and residents and/or their representatives confirmed that consent was sought in relation to care and treatment. The deputy manager had compiled a training file of information in relation to consent for staff guidance. This is good practice. Discussion with residents, their representatives and staff along with observation of care practice and social interactions demonstrated that residents were treated with dignity and respect.

The deputy manager and staff confirmed that residents were listened to, valued and communicated with in an appropriate manner. Residents confirmed that their views and opinions were taken into account in all matters affecting them.

Discussion with staff and residents, observation of practice and review of care records confirmed that residents were enabled and supported to engage and participate in meaningful activities. The home employs a dedicated activity therapist. Links are maintained with families and friends who are welcome to visit. One resident regularly uses Skype to keep in contact with her family who are abroad.

Comments received from residents and staff included:

- “ I’m in heaven in here” (resident)
- “The staff are very very kind “(resident)
- “I’ve worked in care and I know how hard it is, the girls here are wonderful” (resident)
- “I think the fact that this is not a big home makes things more like a family. We know every resident really well and their families (staff)

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

4.6 Is the service well led?

The deputy manager outlined the management arrangements and governance systems in place within the home. These were found to be in line with good practice. The needs of residents were met in accordance with the home's statement of purpose and the categories of care for which the home was registered with RQIA.

A range of policies and procedures was in place to guide and inform staff. Policies were centrally indexed and retained in a manner which was easily accessible by staff. Policies and procedures were systematically reviewed every three years or more frequently as changes occurred.

There was a complaints policy and procedure in place which was in accordance with the legislation and Department of Health (DOH) guidance on complaints handling. Residents and their representatives were made aware of how to make a complaint by way of the Residents Guide. Discussion with staff confirmed that they were knowledgeable about how to receive and deal with complaints.

There was an accident/incident/notifiable events policy and procedure in place which included reporting arrangements to RQIA. A review of accidents/incidents/notifiable events confirmed that these were effectively documented and reported to RQIA and other relevant organisations in accordance with the legislation and procedures. A regular audit of accidents and incidents was undertaken monthly by the deputy manager. .

The deputy manager confirmed that they were aware of the "Falls Prevention Toolkit" and were using this guidance to improve post falls management within the home.

There were quality assurance systems in place to drive quality improvement which included regular audits and satisfaction surveys. For examples as well as annual care management care reviews the home undertakes 6 monthly internal care reviews.

There was a system to ensure medical device alerts, safety bulletins, serious adverse incident alerts and staffing alerts were appropriately reviewed and actioned.

Discussion with the deputy manager confirmed that information in regard to current best practice guidelines was made available to staff. The deputy manager is proactive in seeking information and disseminating this to staff. For example there was evidence that staff meet on a regular basis specifically to discuss the Dementia Learning and Development Framework and the implications this may have on the provision of care.

A monthly monitoring visit was undertaken as required under Regulation 29 of The Residential Care Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005; a report was produced and made available for residents, their representatives, staff, trust representatives and RQIA to read.

There was a clear organisational structure and all staff were aware of their roles, responsibility and accountability. This was outlined in the home’s Statement of Purpose and Residents Guide. The deputy manager confirmed that the registered provider was kept informed regarding the day to day running of the home by regular phone conversations, written reports and by e mail.

The deputy manager confirmed that the management and control of operations within the home was in accordance with the regulatory framework. Inspection of the premises confirmed that the RQIA certificate of registration and employer’s liability insurance certificate were displayed.

Review of governance arrangements within the home and the evidence provided within the returned RQIA Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) confirmed that the registered provider responds to regulatory matters in a timely manner.

The home had a whistleblowing policy and procedure in place and discussion with staff established that they were knowledgeable regarding this. The deputy manager confirmed that staff could also access line management to raise concerns they will offer support to staff.

Discussion with staff confirmed that there were good working relationships within the home and that management were responsive to suggestions and/or concerns raised.

The deputy manager confirmed that there were arrangements in place for managing identified lack of competency and poor performance for all staff. There were also open and transparent methods of working and effective working relationships with internal and external stakeholders.

Comments received from staff and residents included:

“If I was marking this home I would give it more than excellent” (resident)

“Things couldn’t be better” (resident)

“I’ve worked in other homes and this one is well run” (staff)

“I have confidence that Marie (deputy manager) knows what she’s doing and things are done properly here” (staff)

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection in relation to this domain.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

5.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no issues identified during this inspection, and a QIP is neither required, nor included, as part of this inspection report.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards.



The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority

9th Floor

Riverside Tower

5 Lanyon Place

BELFAST

BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500

Fax 028 9051 7501

Email info@rqia.org.uk

Web www.rqia.org.uk

 [@RQIANews](https://twitter.com/RQIANews)