

Unannounced Medicines Management Inspection Report 25 April 2017



Rosemount Care Centre

Type of Service: Nursing Home
Address: 2 Moy Road, Portadown, BT62 1QL
Tel No: 028 3833 1311
Inspector: Helen Daly

www.rqia.org.uk

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care

1.0 Summary

An unannounced inspection of Rosemount Care Centre took place on 25 April 2017 from 10.50 to 14.40.

The inspection sought to assess progress with any issues raised during and since the previous inspection and to determine if the home was delivering safe, effective and compassionate care and if the service was well led.

Is care safe?

There was evidence that the management of medicines supported the delivery of safe care and positive outcomes for patients. Staff administering medicines were trained and competent. There were systems in place to ensure the management of medicines was in compliance with legislative requirements and standards. No requirements or recommendations were made.

Is care effective?

The management of medicines supported the delivery of effective care. There were systems in place to ensure patients were receiving their medicines as prescribed. No requirements or recommendations were made.

Is care compassionate?

The management of medicines supported the delivery of compassionate care. Staff interactions were observed to be compassionate, caring and timely which promoted the delivery of positive outcomes for patients. Patients consulted with confirmed that they were administered their medicines appropriately. No requirements or recommendations were made.

Is the service well led?

The service was found to be well led with respect to the management of medicines. Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place which supported the delivery of care. Systems were in place to enable management to identify and cascade learning from any medicine related incidents and medicine audit activity. No requirements or recommendations were made.

This inspection was underpinned by The Nursing Homes Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2005 and the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety (DHSSPS) Care Standards for Nursing Homes, April 2015.

For the purposes of this report, the term 'patients' will be used to describe those living in Rosemount Care Centre which provides both nursing and residential care.

1.1 Inspection outcome

	Requirements	Recommendations
Total number of requirements and recommendations made at this inspection	0	0

This inspection resulted in no requirements or recommendations being made. Findings of the inspection were discussed with Ms Jillian Claire McKenna, Registered Manager, as part of the inspection process and can be found in the main body of the report.

Enforcement action did not result from the findings of this inspection.

1.2 Actions/enforcement taken following the most recent care inspection

Other than those actions detailed in the QIP there were no further actions required to be taken following the most recent inspection on 11 October 2016.

2.0 Service details

Registered organisation/registered person: Zest Care Homes Limited Mr Philip Scott	Registered manager: Ms Jillian Claire McKenna
Person in charge of the home at the time of inspection: Ms Jillian Claire McKenna	Date manager registered: 1 November 2011
Categories of care: NH-DE, NH-I, RC-DE	Number of registered places: 73

3.0 Methods/processes

Prior to inspection the following records were analysed:

- recent inspection reports and returned QIPs
- recent correspondence with the home
- the management of medicine related incidents; it was ascertained that no incidents involving medicines had been reported to RQIA since the last medicines management inspection

We met with three patients, one senior carer, two registered nurses, the deputy manager and the registered manager.

Fifteen questionnaires were issued to patients, relatives/representatives and staff, with a request that they were returned within one week from the date of the inspection.

A sample of the following records was examined during the inspection:

- medicines requested and received
- personal medication records
- medicine administration records
- medicines disposed of or transferred
- controlled drug record book
- medicine audits
- policies and procedures
- care plans
- training records
- medicines storage temperatures

4.0 The inspection

4.1 Review of requirements and recommendations from the most recent inspection dated 11 October 2016

The most recent inspection of the home was an unannounced care inspection. The completed QIP was returned and approved by the care inspector. This QIP will be validated by the care inspector at their next inspection.

4.2 Review of requirements and recommendations from the last medicines management inspection 12 September 2016

Last medicines management inspection statutory requirements		Validation of compliance
Requirement 1 Ref: Regulation 13 (4) Stated: First time	The registered provider must investigate why a medicine was unavailable for administration for several days. The outcome of the investigation including the action taken to prevent a recurrence must be forwarded to RQIA.	Met
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: The investigation was completed and the outcome was forwarded to RQIA. An action plan to prevent a recurrence was implemented.	
Requirement 2 Ref: Regulation 13 (4) Stated: First time	The registered provider must ensure that medicines are available for administration as prescribed on all occasions.	Met
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: Additional measures have been put in place to ensure that any potential out of stocks are identified. There was no evidence that medicines had been omitted due to being out of stock.	
Last medicines management inspection recommendations		Validation of compliance
Recommendation 1 Ref: Standard 37 Stated: Second time	The registered manager should ensure that the reason for each administration and the subsequent outcome are recorded for medicines which are prescribed to be administered 'when required' for the management of distressed reactions.	Met
	Action taken as confirmed during the inspection: The reason for and outcome of administration had been documented on the sample of medicines records examined at this inspection.	

4.3 Is care safe?

The deputy manager confirmed that all registered nurses and senior carers had received training and been deemed competent to manage medicines. An induction process was in place for registered nurses and for care staff who had been delegated medicine related tasks. The impact of training was monitored through the home's auditing system, supervision and annual appraisal. Competency assessments were completed annually.

Systems were in place to manage the ordering of prescribed medicines to ensure adequate supplies were available and to prevent wastage. Staff advised of the procedures to identify and report any potential shortfalls in medicines.

There were satisfactory arrangements in place to manage changes to prescribed medicines. Personal medication records and the majority of hand-written handwritten entries on medication administration records were updated by two registered nurses. This safe practice was acknowledged. There was evidence that newly prescribed medicines and antibiotics were received into the home without delay.

There were procedures in place to ensure the safe management of medicines during a patient's admission to the home and discharge from the home.

Records of the receipt, administration and disposal of controlled drugs subject to record keeping requirements were maintained in a controlled drug record book. Checks were performed on controlled drugs which require safe custody, at the end of each shift. Additional checks were also performed on other controlled drugs which is good practice.

Robust arrangements were observed for the management of high risk medicines e.g. warfarin and insulin. The use of separate administration charts was acknowledged.

Discontinued or expired medicines were disposed of appropriately. Discontinued controlled drugs were denatured and rendered irretrievable prior to disposal.

Medicines were stored safely and securely and in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Medicine storage areas were clean, tidy and well organised. There were systems in place to alert staff of the expiry dates of medicines with a limited shelf life, once opened. Medicine refrigerators and oxygen equipment were checked at regular intervals. However, there were some omissions in the daily temperature records in the Cherry Blossom unit, it was agreed that this would be closely monitored.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

4.4 Is care effective?

The sample of medicines examined had been administered in accordance with the prescriber's instructions. There was evidence that time critical medicines had been administered at the correct time. There were arrangements in place to alert staff of when doses of weekly and three monthly medicines were due.

When a patient was prescribed a medicine for administration on a “when required” basis for the management of distressed reactions, the dosage instructions were recorded on the personal medication record. Care plans were in place. Staff knew how to recognise signs, symptoms and triggers which may cause a change in a patient’s behaviour and were aware that this change may be associated with pain. The reason for and the outcome of administration were being recorded. .

The sample of records examined indicated that medicines which were prescribed to manage pain had been administered as prescribed. Care plans were maintained. Staff were aware that ongoing monitoring was necessary to ensure that the pain was well controlled and the patient was comfortable. Pain assessments tools were used with patients who were unable to verbalise their pain. Pain management was also discussed as part of the admission process.

The management of swallowing difficulty was examined. For those patients prescribed a thickening agent, this was recorded on their personal medication record and included details of the fluid consistency. Care plans and speech and language assessment reports were in place. Each administration was recorded.

Staff confirmed that compliance with prescribed medicine regimes was monitored and any omissions or refusals likely to have an adverse effect on the patient’s health were reported to the prescriber.

Medicine records were well maintained and facilitated the audit process. Areas of good practice were acknowledged.

Practices for the management of medicines were audited throughout the month by the staff and management. This included running stock balances for medicines which were not contained in the blister pack system. Monthly audits were completed by the management team. In addition, a quarterly audit was completed by the community pharmacist.

Following discussion with the registered nurses and senior carer, it was evident that when applicable, other healthcare professionals are contacted in response to medication related issues.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

4.5 Is care compassionate?

We observed the administration of the lunch time medicines in Cherry Blossom. The administration of medicines to patients was completed in a caring manner, patients were given time to take their medicines and medicines were administered as discreetly as possible.

We spoke with three patients who were relaxing after lunch. They advised that “staff looked after their medicines very well and that they were very happy in the home”.

Patients who could not verbalise their feelings in respect of their care were observed to be relaxed and comfortable in their surroundings and in their interactions with staff.

As part of the inspection process questionnaires were issued to patients, relatives/ representatives and staff, with a request that they were returned within one week from the date of the inspection; none were returned within this timescale.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

4.6 Is the service well led?

Written policies and procedures for the management of medicines were in place. Copies were available in each treatment room. Following discussion with staff it was evident that they were familiar with the policies and procedures and that any updates were highlighted to staff.

There were robust arrangements in place for the management of medicine related incidents. Staff confirmed that they knew how to identify and report incidents. Management were aware that some medication related incidents may need to be reported to the safeguarding lead.

A review of the audit records indicated that largely satisfactory outcomes had been achieved. Where a discrepancy had been identified, there was evidence of the action taken and learning which had resulted in a change of practice. Action plans were available in the treatment rooms.

Following discussion with the registered manager, registered nurses and care staff, it was evident that staff were familiar with their roles and responsibilities in relation to medicines management.

Staff confirmed that any concerns in relation to medicines management were raised with management. They advised that any resultant action was communicated with staff either individually, via memos and at team meetings.

Areas for improvement

No areas for improvement were identified during the inspection.

Number of requirements	0	Number of recommendations	0
-------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---

5.0 Quality improvement plan

There were no issues identified during this inspection, and a QIP is neither required, nor included, as part of this inspection report.

It should be noted that this inspection report should not be regarded as a comprehensive review of all strengths and areas for improvement that exist in the service. The findings reported on are those which came to the attention of RQIA during the course of this inspection. The findings contained within this report do not exempt the registered provider from their responsibility for maintaining compliance with the regulations and standards.



The Regulation and Quality Improvement Authority
9th Floor
Riverside Tower
5 Lanyon Place
BELFAST
BT1 3BT

Tel 028 9051 7500
Fax 028 9051 7501
Email info@rqia.org.uk
Web www.rqia.org.uk
 @RQIANews

Assurance, Challenge and Improvement in Health and Social Care